



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP  
1621 EUCLID AVENUE  
NINETEENTH FLOOR  
CLEVELAND OH 44115

**COPY MAILED**

APR 12 2005

In re Application of  
Guang-Xue Wei  
Application No. 09/710,510  
Filed: November 9, 2000  
Title: FLUORESCENT POLYMERIC  
ARTICLES FABRICATED FROM U.V.  
LIGHT ABSORBING POLYMER

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed February 1, 2005, under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)<sup>1</sup> to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action, mailed December 4, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on March 5, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed June 4, 2004.

On June 16, 2004, a response was received along with a terminal disclaimer, which contained a certificate of mailing dated February 21, 2003. It is noted that the response contained the incorrect serial number, 09/710,560. Petitioner concurrently submitted a petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) to withdraw the holding of abandonment, which was granted via the mailing of a decision from Technology Center 1700 on February 1, 2005.

Consequently, the petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b)<sup>2</sup> is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

<sup>1</sup> A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center 1700 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.



**Paul Sharoski  
Senior Attorney  
Office of Petitions  
United States Patent and Trademark Office**